1.3.1





SOLAR THERMAL POWER

Large Power Systems Applications

STATUS LETTER FOR MARCH 1979

J. J. BARTEL, EDITOR LARGE POWER SYSTEMS DIVISION SANDIA LABORATORIES, LIVERMORE

ROBERT W. HUGHEY, DIRECTOR SOLAR ENERGY DIVISION SAN FRANCISCO OPERATIONS

The Photograph --

A solar repowered/industrial retrofit plant is one that uses solar energy to partially or completely replace fossil fuel as an energy source. Repowering may offer an excellent near-term application of solar technology that could lead to early commercialization.



PROGRAM ELEMENT SUMMARY

LARGE POWER SYSTEMS APPLICATIONS

This report, issued monthly, covers the portion of the Solar Thermal Power Systems which is directed toward large-scale systems applications --primarily Central Receiver system applications to electrical power generation at 10 MWe and above, but also with consideration of direct, high temperature thermal applications and of alternative collector configurations. The Central Receiver concept employs a field of individually guided mirrors called heliostats that redirect the sun's energy to a receiver mounted on top of a tower. In the receiver, the radiant solar energy is absorbed in a circulating fluid and is then transported to an electrical power generation subsystem or to an indistrial thermal process; excess thermal energy may be stored for later use, if operationally desirable and economically justifiable. Alternative systems for large-scale energy collection, such as linear central receivers with single-axis heliostats and individual, distributed collectors in manifolded arrays, are also under study.

Responsibility for managing the development and assessment of large solar thermal power systems for various applications has been delegated by DOE Headquarters to the San Francisco Operations Office; technical management is drawn from Sandia Laboratories, Livermore, the Aerospace Corporation, and other public and private organizations. The Large Power Systems Applications program element is organized according to a work breakdown structure which includes: Overall planning and coordination activities; storage-coupled systems; utility repowering/industrial retrofit systems; solar/non-solar hybrid systems; and programmatic support to the 10-MWe Solar Thermal Pilot Plant construction project.

HIGHLIGHTS

Major Accomplishments

- Repowering/Industrial Retrofit Concept Study RFP issued and preproposal conference held. (page 6)
- Large Power Systems Semiannual Review held. (page 2)
- Alternate Central Receiver Phase II scope of work coordinated with General Electric and Martin Marietta, Denver. (page 6)
- Responsibility for McDonnell Douglas 70-tube SRE tests transferred from SAN to SLL. (page 8)
- ACR Technical Preproposal meeting held with Martin Marietta, Denver and General Electric. The revised statements of work and cost proposals were received and are now in review.

MILESTONE STATUS

Milestones Accomplished

- Repowering/Retrofit Concept Study RFP was released on March 16, 1979.
- Preproposal conference was held on March $\frac{2^{S}}{22}$, 1979.
- MIRVAL, a computer code for modeling select heliostat receiver configurations and heliostat performance, was released.
- The large power systems semiannual review was held March 21 and 22 in Reston, VA; about 180 people attended.

Milestones Missed

- Release a draft of the document <u>A Description and Assessment of</u> Large Solar Power System Technology was delayed.
- The initiation of the phase II alternate central receiver work by Martin Marietta and General Electric was delayed until April.

Milestones Due Next Month

- Initiate phase II ACR contract negotiations.
- Publish a preliminary draft of the LSPS technology document.

ENERAL ACTIVITIES PLANNING AND AD-HOC TASKS UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS TORAGE COUPLED SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING RECEIVER TESTING	O N D J	FY FM VC	79 A M \	J J 	J_A :	5 0	 N ▼F	Y 80 Q2	Q3_Q4	Q1 Q2 ⊽G	(81 2 Q3 (24	2 PL	- AC
ENERAL ACTIVITIES PLANNING AND AD-HOC TASKS UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS TORAGE COUPLED SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING RECEIVER TESTING	⊽8 28 	20 A9		⊽C	7 E		⊽F			⊽G	- <u></u>		10	10
PLANNING AND AD-HOC TASKS UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS TORAGE COUPLED SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING RECEIVER TESTING	∀8 •8			⊽C	<u>7E</u>		⊽F			∀C			10	10
UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS TORAGE COUPLED SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING RECEIVER TESTING	v 8 	▼C		▽C		40							ŀ	
ORAGE COUPLED SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING RECEIVER TESTING	. ▼ B	▼C	 										凵 10	10
	₹B	▼ C												
RECEIVER TESTING	₩B	▼C					78			⊽C			17	, 17
ALT CENTRAL RECEIVER SYSTEM													100	100
ALI CENIKAL RECEIVER SISIEM		¥8 ⊽C				_	∀D			EVF			17	, 17
	7 B			<u></u>	⊽0	: VD	VE VF			<u>+с </u> т			2	2 23
EPOWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT		1	i 1 1							1			1	
					78		⊽C			VD			13	13
	78	wC			⊽D				χE				31	31
			∀8	⊽C	X	d							31	32
IVERID POWER SYSTEMS		1												
		۱ ۱			<u> </u>	-	78			∇C			17	17
	78				⊽C	4 0 <u>7</u>	E DE			<u>с</u> хн		T	23	23
		▼ B						VE				ļ	10	10
DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY		ΨB	 				⊽Ç	<u>~~</u>	•				25	26
		₩ ₿	∇C					XD V					41	41
TMPO SUPPORT				28								VD	10	10
	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY PRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY /BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY /BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY /BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT 	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY 'B VC 'B VC	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT VB VC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING VB VC REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT VB VC W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY VB VC 'BID POWER SYSTEMS VB VC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING VB VC SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING VB VC HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES VB VC HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES VB VC UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM VB VC W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS VB VC MPO SUPPORT VB VB	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS MPO SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT The term of the term of the term of the term of ter	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY BRID POWER SYSTEMS SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS WD SUPPORT	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY 'B 'B 'B 'B 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VC 'VB 'VB 'VB <	POWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT VB VC VD 13 SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING VC VD XE 31 W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY VB VC VD XE 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS VB VC VD 31 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS VB VC VD 17 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS VB VC VC VD 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS VB VC VC VC 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS STUDIES VB VC VC VD 'BRID POWER SYSTEMS STUDIES VB VC VD VC 'BRID POWER SYSTE

) 🔺 🍎

ω

•

DESCRIPTION

LINE IDENT 01 01.01.00.A 02 01.01.01.A 03 01.01.01.B 04 01.01.01.C 05 01.01.01.D 86 01.01.01.E 87 01.01.01.F 08 01.01.01.G 01.01.02.A 09 01.01.02.8 10 11 01.01.02.0 12 01.01.02.0 01.02.00.A 13 01.02.01.A 14 15 01.02.01.B 16 01.02.01.C 01.02.02.A 17 18 01.02.02.B 01.02.02.0

01.02.03.A

01.02.03.8

01.02.03.C

01.02.03.D

01.02.03.E

01.02.03.F

01.02.04.A

01.02.04.B

01.02.04.0

01.02.04.0

01.02.04.E

01.02.04.F

01.02.04.6

01.02.04.H

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

GENERAL ACTIVITIES ---PLANNING AND AD-HOC TASKS **B MIRVAL CODE ISSUE** TECHNOLOGY DOCUMENT DRAFT C D UTILITY DOCUMENT DRAFT AOP/APP DRAFT F TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON F G TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON --- UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS **B START SRI MESOSCALE STUDY** SRI STUDY/INITIAL RESULTS 3 D SRI STUDY COMPLETE STORAGE COUPLED SYSTEMS B TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON C TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON ---RECEIVER TESTING **B BOEING TEST START** C BOEING TEST COMPLETE ---ALT CENTRAL RECEIVER SYSTEM **B SELECT PHASE 2 CONTRACTORS** C START PHASE 2 ACTIVITIES D PHASE 2 STATUS REVIEW E PHASE 2 CONPLETE DECISION TO CONT PHASE 3 F ---LINE FOCUS SYSTEM STUDIES **B START PHASE 1 ACTIVITIES** С. PHASE 2 PROPOSALS DUE PHASE 1 COMPLETE D PHASE 2 EVALUATION COMPLETE E START PHASE 2 ACTIVITIES F G PHASE 2 COMPLETE H DECISION TO COMPETE PHASE 3

FIELD 2

LINE	IDENT	DESCRIPTION
01	01.03.00.A	REPOWERING/INDUSTRIAL RETROFIT
02	01.03.01.A	SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING
03	01.03.01.B	B SERI STRATEGY ANALYSIS COMP
04	01.03.01.C	C TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON
05	01.03.01.D	D TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON
06	01.03.02.A	REPOWERING/RETROFIT CONCEPT
07	01.03.02.B	B PNM STUDY COMPLETE
08	01.03.02.C	C RELEASE CONCEPT STUDY RFP
09	01.03.J2.D	D START CONCEPT STUDIES
10	01.03.02.E	E CONCEPT STUDIES COMPLETE
11	01.03.03.A	W SYN EPRI UTILITY-E STUDY
12	01.03.03.B	B START WORK
13	01.03.03.C	C UTILITY SELECTION
14	01.03.03.D	D STUDY COMPLETE
15	01.04.00.A	HYBRID POWER SYSTEMS
16	01.04.01.A	SYSTEMS ANALYSIS/PLANNING
17	01.04.01.B	B TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON
18	01.04.01.C	C TECHNOLOGY COMPARISON
19	01.04.02.A	HYBRID PWR SYSTEMS STUDIES
20	01.04.02.8	B START PHASE 1 ACTIVITIES
21	01.04.02.C	C PHASE 2 PROPOSLS DUE
22	01.04.02.0	D PHASE 1 COMPLETE
23	01.04.02.E	E PHASE 2 EVALUATION COMPLETE
24	01.04.02.F	F START PHASE 2 ACTIVITIES
25	01.04.02.6	G PHASE 2 CONPLETE
26	01.04.02.H	H DECISION TO COMPETE PHASE 3
27	01.04.03.A	UTILITY COOPERATIVE PROGRAM
28	01.04.03.B	B EPRIJW MARKET SURVEY
29	01.04.03.E	E DOE/EPRI PP DECISION
30	01.04.04.A	DOE/BU-REC HYB NETWK STUDY
31	01.04.04.B	B START NETWORK STUDY
32	01.04.04.C	C COMPLETE NETWORK STUDY
33	01.04.04.C	C STUDY COMPLETION RESCHEDULE
34	01.04.04.D	D FINAL REPORT
35	01.04.05.A	W UTIL/TECH SENS ANALYSIS
36	01.04.05.B	B SCOPE OF WORK DEFINED
37	01.04.05.C	C START WORK
38	01.04.05.D	D STUDY COMPLETE
39	01.05.00.A	STMPO SUPPORT
40	01.05.00.B	B HELIOSTAT DESIGN
41	01.05.00.C	C START SOLAR FACILITY DESIGN
42	01.05.00. D	D PILOT PLANT OPERATIONAL
F NO	OF PLOTS	

MAJOR ACCOMPLISHMENTS

<u>Repowering/Industrial Retrofit Concept Study RFP</u> (WBS 01.03.02 Repowering/Retrofit Concept)

The Repowering/Industrial Retrofit RFP was issued on March 16, 1979, and a preproposal conference was held on March 28, 1979 at DOE/SAN. Written questions were collected and answered, and a formal conference record is being compiled for distribution on April 1, 1979 to all who received the RFP.

<u>Alternate Central Receiver Phase II Work Scope Coordinated with</u> <u>Martin Marietta, Denver and General Electric</u> (WBS 01.02.03 Alternate Central Receiver System)

Meetings were held with General Electric and Martin Marietta to discuss the Phase II "scope of work". During this phase, experiments at the central receiver test facility and materials studies will be emphasized; system and parametric studies will be minimized.

Contractors have submitted revised proposals which are currently under review by SAN and Sandia.

Both contractors plan to start Phase II work on advance authorization in early April.

Line Focus System Studies - BDM (WBS 01.02.04)

Throughout March, efforts with BDM centered on a review of system designs which would provide turbine inlet steam temperatures above 550°F. It was concluded that the following revised design point conditions are worthy of DOE consideration.

Turbine inlet steam : 700°F @ 600 psig

Two stage collector field:

Stage 1 8.3 million sq. ft. with Therminol 55 coolant.

Stage 2 2.7 million sq. ft. with Syltherm 800 coolant.

150 MW_e turbine with reheat.

BDM has been directed to provide a conceptual design for these conditions in accordance with Task 4 of their contract ET-78-C-03-2073.

MIRVAL Computer Code Issued Document Number SAND77-8280 (WBS 01.01.01)

MIRVAL is a Monte Carlo program which simulates the heliostats and a portion of the receiver for solar energy central receiver power plants. Models for three receiver types and four kinds of heliostats are included in the code. The three receiver types modeled are an external cylinder, a cylindrical cavity with a downward-facing aperture, and a north-facing cavity. Three heliostats which track in elevation and azimuth are modeled, one of which is enclosed in a plastic dome. The fourth type consists of a rack of louvered reflective panels with the rack rotatable about a fixed horizontal axis.

Phenomena whose effects are simulated are shadowing, blocking, mirror tracking, random errors in tracking and in the conformation of the reflective surface, optical figure of the reflective surface, insolation, angular distribution of incoming sun rays to account for limb darkening and scattering, attenuation of light between the mirrors and the receiver, reflectivity of the mirror surface, and aiming strategy.

> Cost Estimating Class (WBS 01.01.00, Planning and Ad-hoc Tasks)

A two-day cost estimating class was presented by Fluor Power Systems, Inc., to approximately twenty members of Sandia's technical staff. The subject matter included scope, estimating philosophy, scaling factors, risk analysis, and standard estimation. This background and revised cost breakdown structures will allow systematic comparison of common elements in the various large power system concepts, and should simplify contractor reporting requirements.

FISCAL STATUS

Obligations (B/A):

The SAN Fin Plan was reduced by \$100K in March, to \$8770K in operating funds, reflecting (a) the \$600 second increment in additional funding for the Repowering/Retrofit program element (WBS 1.3) and (b) a Fin Plan transfer of \$700K to SLL (including \$300K for assumption of responsibility for MDAC receiver tests under WBS 2.2, \$150K for Technical Management of the Repowering/Retrofit program element under WBS 1.3, and \$250K for STMPO support under WBS 1.5). Adding the total of \$2780K transferred to SLL to date and the \$850K of PE&D funds authorized in February, total obligational authority for Large Power Systems Applications is now \$12,400K.

Obligations for March (considered as of date of execution of contract or date of Fin Plan showing transfer) were \$719K vs. a planned \$200K; the LPSA program is currently underobligated by \$4472K with respect to the January 5 revision to the Annual Procurement Plan, most of this reflecting delay in selection and award of the Alternate Central Receiver Phase II contracts. (Chart, p.9)

(A second revision to the APP was submitted on April 10, reflecting the additional \$1450K authorized for WBS 1.3 and updating the procurement schedule for the remainder of FY 79. If approved, this revision will be incorporated into the April Status Letter.)

Cost Status (B/O):

Costing authority was increased during March by \$176K, to \$12,860 in operating funds (or a total of \$13,710 including PE&D) for the Large Power Systems Applications program element. Planned costs for FY 79 and actual costs through March for SAN-held contracts are now available; cumulative costs (\$3091K) are currently \$5K over planned costs. (Chart, p.10)

SLL Technical Management and Support is currently \$124K over plan, reflecting heavy effort in support of the Alternate Central Receiver evaluation and the Repowering-Retrofit RFP. The SLL cost plan will be revised to reflect additional funds transferred from SAN in March. (Chart, p.11)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

FORM DOE 536

(1/78)

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT

FOR	M AF	PRO	VED
OMB	NO.	38R-	-0190

1. 0																·		
Lar	ge	Powe	er Sy	stems	Appl	icati	ons				2. Re 1 M	porting ar	Period through	<u>31 </u>	Mar	3. Co	ntract N N/A	lumber
4. Contr SAN	actor	(Name ANC I	and Ac	idress) OPFRA	TIONS		ICF.									5. Co	ntract St N/A	tart Date
<u> </u>																6. Co	ntract C N/A	ompletion Date
7. Mont	hs		0	N	D	J	F	M	A	м	J	J	A	S	0	N	D	8 FY 79
9 Ob	liga	atio	n Sta	atus													_	To Cost Plan
•Doll Mill	ars ions	in s			 					[<u> </u>			 	 		Date Jan 79 h. Planned
Planne	d	1 2M					ſ											Costs Prior Fys 8,337 K
 Actual	1	I OM																i. Actual Costs Prior FYs
Author	izec	8M 1																8,33/ K j. Total Esti- mated Costs
		6M			<u> </u>	7	7											for Contract N/A
b. 8&R Numb	pers	4M					/											k. Total Con- tract Value N / Δ
AD-03-		2M																I. Unfilled
01-01				I		τ V		L										Orders Outstanding N/A
	c. Plan	nned	0	0	719	3211	4580	200	0	0	240	0	1500	500				m. Estimate for Subsequent
Accrued Costs	e. Var	iance	0	(100	469	2849	320 4260	/19										Reporting
	f. Cun Var	n. iance	0	(100)	369	731	4991	4472										N/A

Note: Total planned obligation authority of \$12,400K is now on hand. Actual obligations have been changed from previous monthly reports to (a) include funds transferred to SLL for Technology Development (\$600K) as well as for Technical Management/Support (\$2,180K), and (b) take effective date of obligation as date of execution of corresponding contract or date of Financial Plan showing fund transfer. Revision #2 to the FY 79 Annual Procurement Plan was submitted to HQ April 10, and, if approved, will be reflected in revised "Planned" schedule in April Status Letter.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

FORM DOE 536 (1/78)

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 38R-0190

	1. Contra	act Ide	ntifica	tion								2. Re	porting	Period			3. Co	ntract N	umber
1	LARG	E PO	WER	SYST	EMS /	APPLI	CATIO	<u>) NS (</u>	<u>IBS-1</u>	.0)		<u> </u>	ar	through	<u>, 31 M</u>	ar		<u> </u>	/A
	4. Contra	actor (f	Name	and Ad	dress)												5. Cor	tract St	art Date
\sim		SAN	FR	ANCIS	ico ol	PERAT	IONS	OFFIC	Ε						i			N/	<u> </u>
																	6. Co	ntract C	ompletion Dete
~:	7. Monti	hs		0	N	D	J	F	Μ	A	м	J	J	A	S	0	N	D	8 FY 79
- [9. Cost S	Status																	g. Cost Plan
	• Doll	ars	14						 					L	<u> </u>	L			<u>1 Jan 79</u>
	in					ļ							 	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	 		h. Planned Costs Prior
	Ihous	ands	12											<u> </u>		 	┥		FYs
	Erann	eg _	-									·	<u> </u>		 ;	¥			4,345 K
	Actua	1	10										t		+/	<u>}</u>			Prior FYs
			-										t	<u> </u>					2,650 K
	Autho	rizo	8										1	<u> </u>	1/		1		j. Total Esti-
	Aucho	1120	ے د														1		for Contract
1		ونستنف	0																N/A
			4									-	ļ	ļ					k. Total Con- tract Value
	D. B&H Numb	pers									-				<u> </u>				N/A
	10 02		2										<u> </u>						I. Unfilled
	AD-03														┨────				Orders
	01-0	1						<u> </u>			l		ł	L		I	<u> </u>		N/A
Ĭ		c. Plar	nned	364	508	544	575	550	555	658	686	694	656	786	4070				m. Estimate for
	Accrued	d. Act	ual	230	697	550	548	618	448										Subsequent Reporting
	Costs	e. Vari	iance	134	(189)	(6)	27	(68)	107										Period N/A
l		Vari	iance	134	(55)	(61)	(34)	(102)	5										11/1

NOTE: Costing authority shown is total for Large Power Systems Applications program element; cost plan does not include \$2,180K transferred to SLL for Technical Management/Support (see next chart), or \$600K for Technology Development (see CRTD Status Letter). U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT

Contact Bandiation 2 Rescue Priod 1 Contract Manual Manual SOLAR - LARGE POWER SYSTEMS APPLICATIONS 1 Mar mercent AD 03 01 -837 Sandia Laboratories, Livermore, California Contract Nume and Address Contract Date 7. Monine 0 N D J F M A M J J A S O N D e ry 79 Contract Date 7. Monine 0 N D J F M A M J J A S O N D e ry 79 Contract On the rest of the rest)RM DO /78)	E 536			CC	ONTR.	ACT	ANA	GEME	INT SU	JMMA	RY R	EPOR	T			FOI	MAPPROVED
1. Convector (Nume and Address) Sandia Laboratories, Livermore, California 7. Months 0 N D J F M A M J A S O N D E Evrops 7. Months 0 N D J F M A M J A S O N D E Evrops E Convert Computerion Data 2 Months Dollars in Thousands E Evrops E Convert Computerion Data E Convert Convert Computerion Data E Convert	Contra SOLAR	- LARGE	tion POW	ER SY	STEMS	S APP	LICAT	IONS			2. Re	porting I Mar	Period through	<u>31 M</u>	lar	3 Co AD	ntract N	umber +837
7. Monthi O N D J F M A M J J A S O N D E FY79 8< Cost Baru	4. Contra Sandia	Labora	and Add	es, L	ivern	nore,	Cali	forni	a							5. Co	ntract St F	art Date 1° - 75
7. Montha 0 N D J F M A M J J A S 0 N D 8 Ferry 79 8 Gott Bituri DOILars in Thousands 0 Const Plan Const Plan 0 Const Plan							<u></u>	1				r	<u></u>		r		<u>N/A</u>	
B Cost Strutu LD11ATS 1R InDUSATION 0 Cost Plan Planned 2100 1 Planned 1 Planned 1 Planned Costed 1800 1 Planned 1 Planned 1 Planned Costed 1800 1 Planned 1 Planned 1 Planned 1500 1200 6,695K 1 Planned 1 Planned 1200 100 6,695K 1 Planned 1 Planned 900 100 600 1 Planned 1 Planned 1 Planned 900 100 100 150 150 100 N/A NAA 100 160 150 150 140 145 145 148 140 140 N/A N/A 133 202 110 231 205 1 Planned N/A Planned N/A Cost Strut (Drect LGS7) 2 S7 (52) 10 140 145 145 148 140 140 140 N/A Cost Strut (Drect LGS7) 2 S7 (52) <td>7. Month</td> <td>\$</td> <td>0</td> <td>N</td> <td>D</td> <td>J</td> <td>F</td> <td>M</td> <td>A</td> <td><u>M</u></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>J</td> <td>A</td> <td>s</td> <td>0</td> <td>N</td> <td>D</td> <td>& FY 79</td>	7. Month	\$	0	N	D	J	F	M	A	<u>M</u>	<u> </u>	J	A	s	0	N	D	& FY 79
Planned 2100 Costed 1800 1500 Committed 900 - B&AR Numbers AD 03 01 Cott Pris 600 - B&AR Numbers AD 03 01 Cott Planned 160 150 150 160 150 150 140 140 145 145 148 140 140 140 - B&AR Numbers AD 03 01 Cott Planned 160 150 150 160 150 150 140 140 145 145 148 140 140 140 - B&AR Numbers AC unit of Actual 158 123 202 110 233 205 Cott Planned 2 37 (52 40 (71) (55) Cott Planned 2 37 (52 40 (71) (55) Cott Planned 2 37 (52 40 (71) (55) Cott Planned 2 30 (13) 27 (44) (99) - BASE FTE 300 X 12 250 - Cott Planned 2 4 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	9. Cost St	tatus	0011	ars 1				· · ·		1	γ	۱	T	T	r	r		g. Cost Plan Date 1 Oct 78
Costed 1800 6,753: 1500	Planne	ed 2100												1				h. Planned Costs Prior
1500 I. Actual Committed 1200 1200 Committed 900 900 1700 Fr. 100 150 150 150 150 150 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 14	Costed	1800																FYs 6,753K
Committed 900 B&R Numbers AD 03 01 Committed 600 Committed 900 Commit		1500																i. Actual Cos Prior FYs
Committed 900 - B&R Numbere AD 03 01 - Channed 500 - BASE Numbere AD 03 01 - BASE Numbere AD 03 01 - BASE Numbere - Planned 2 37 (52) 40 (71) (55) - Channed -		1 200											<u> </u>		 			6,695K
900 N/A b. B&R Numbers 600 300 300 AD 03 01 300 C Planed 160 160 150 ACcrued Actual 158 123 201 231 202 110 231 205 Costs 2 Variance 2 2 37 (52) 40 (71) (55) Costs 2 Variance 2 350	Commit	ted																j. Total Esti- mated Cos
B&R Numbers 600		900											<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	for Contrac N/A
B. B&H Numbers 300 N/A AD 03 01 300 1. Unfilled Orders Outstand 1. Unfilled Orders Outstand N/A Accrued Costs 158 123 202 110 231 205 N/A Accrued Costs 6 Accruel Variance 158 123 202 110 231 205 N/A Costs 6 Accruel Variance 2 37 (52) 40 (71) (55) 7 Prod N/A Prod N/A 10. Manpower * 350 350 13 27 (44) (99) 140 140 140 140 N/A 8ASE FTE X 300 350 13 12 12 12 12 12 140 1		600					/											k. Total Con- tract Value
AD 03 01 AD 03 150 AD 0	b. B&R Numb	ers 700				_												N/A
Accounce Constance Constance <th< td=""><td>AD 03</td><td>01 300</td><td></td><td>/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>I. Unfilled Orders</td></th<>	AD 03	01 300		/														I. Unfilled Orders
c. Planned 160 150 150 150 140 <th1< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>l</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>L</td><td>L</td><td>L</td><td>j</td><td><u> </u></td><td>l</td><td>L</td><td>l</td><td>Outstandin N/A</td></th1<>					l		1			L	L	L	j	<u> </u>	l	L	l	Outstandin N/A
Accrued Corrise Issection Issection Issection Reported of N/A Costs 2 37 (52 40 (71) (55) Period N/A Io Manpower Status (Direct Labor) Period Period N/A a. 350		c. Planned	160	160	150	150	160	150	150	140	140	145	145	143	140	140	140	m. Estimate fo Subsequent
I Cum 2 39 (13) 27 (44) (99) N/A 10. Mandower Status (Direct Labor) e. Mandower Status (Direct Labor) f. Pianned Mandower Status (Direct Labor) f. Actual Mandower Status (Di	Accrued Costs	d Actual e. Variance	158	123	202	110	(71)	205							 			Reporting Period
10. Manpower Status (Direct Labor) e. Manpower a. 350 - 1 OC. BASE FTE 300 - 1 Pianned X 12 250 - - 1 Pianned 200 - - - - - 150 - - - - - - 100 - <td< td=""><td></td><td>f. Cum. Variance</td><td>2</td><td>39</td><td>(13)</td><td>27</td><td>(44)</td><td>(99)</td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>N/A</td></td<>		f. Cum. Variance	2	39	(13)	27	(44)	(99)			1		1	1				N/A
350 1 Oct 7 BASE FTE 300 1 Planed X 12 250 54 FTI 200 54 FTI Base 150 54 FTI 100 50 54 FTI 100 50 54 FTI Man. b. Planed 24	10. Manpi a.	ower Status	(Direct	Labor)			1			·····	1	1		1	T	1	-	e. Manpower
BASE FTE 300 Imanpoor X 12 250 S4 200 Imanpoor S4 150 Imanpoor S4 100 Imanpoor S4 50 Imanpoor Imanpoor Man Imanpoor Imanpoor Imanpoor Imanpoor Imanpoor Imanpo		350														-		1 Oct 78
Actual S4 FTI 200 200 150 30 100 50 50 50 Man: b. Planned 24	DACE E	300											 					1. Planned Manpower Prior FYs
2.30 3.4 T II 200 9. Actual 150 9. Actual 150 54 FTI 100 9. Actual 50 9. Actual 100 10. Actual 10. A	X 12	1E 250																Base 54 FTF
200 Manpowe 150 54 100 50 50 50 50 70 100 70		230					 						1	 		 		g. Actual
150 54 100 50 50 <td>1</td> <td>200</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · ·</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Manpower Prior FYs Base</td>	1	200							· · ·	_								Manpower Prior FYs Base
100 h. Total Est mated Ma 50		150		<u> </u>			 		~		┨	 	┨────					54 FTE
100 mated Mi 50 50 50 50 Man: b. Planned 24		· 100											<u> </u>	<u></u>				h. Total Esti-
50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5		100					[ł		 	 		mated Man power for Contract
Man: b. Planned 24		50		<u></u>	2		<u> </u>				<u> </u>	 	1	1				N/A
Man: b. Planned 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24			~	Γ	I]		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	1	l	L	L	<u> </u>	l	L	i. Total Con-
	Man	b. Planned	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	2.4	24	24	24	24	tract Man- power
power $c. Actual 25 23 22 27 28 28$ d. Variance 1 1 2 (3) (4) (4) N/A	power	c. Actual d. Variance	$\frac{23}{1}$	$\frac{23}{1}$	22	$\frac{27}{(3)}$	$\frac{28}{(1)}$	(4)		{	 	 	 	<u> </u>	 			N/A

NOTE: Cost plan will be revised next month to reflect \$400K transferred from SAN in March for support of WBS 1.3 and 1.5; funds transferred for use under WBS 2.2 and 2.4 (\$600K) will be reported in Central Receiver Technology Status Letter.

DISTRIBUTION

DOE/HQ

H. Coleman G. W. Braun G. M. Kaplan

L. Melamed

D. Campbell S. M. Hansen R. A. Miller R. H. Annon

J. Flynn

F. Goldner R. L. Bigham

J. E. Rannels M. U. Gutstein

J. Weisiger, Jr. (3)

JPL

V. C. Truscello

Aerospace Corporation

E. L. Katz

SLL

T. B. Cook, 8000
A. N. Blackwell, 8200
P. J. Eicker, 8326
L. Gutierrez, 8400
R. C. Wayne, 8450
J. F. Genoni, 8450
J. F. Genoni, 8450
D. N. Tanner, 8450A
W. G. Wilson, 8451
A. C. Skinrood, 8452
J. D. Gilson, 8452

SLA

J. H. Scott, 4700 G. E. Brandvold, 4710 B. W. Marshall, 4713 V. L. Dugan, 4720

DOE/SAN

- R. A. DuVal R. W. Hughey S. D. Elliott (3) L. E. Prince S. M. O'Brien
- R. N. Schweinberg (STMPO)

DOE/ALO

D. K. Nowlin

SER I

- J. C. Grosskreutz
- J. Doane
- K. Touryan